

Sandia National Laboratories

# MADmax Multi-Agent Trust Dynamics and Influence Maximization

Ace Sorensen, R&D Computer Science ahsoren@sandia.gov Sandia National Labs

> 00  $\mathbf{OC}$

Matthew Sweitzer, Asmeret Naugle, Casey Doyle, Daniel Krofcheck



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.





## Influence is more visible now than ever



## What about the parts that aren't?

What makes influencers successful? How do they achieve their goals?



Trust. What is the impact of trust on opinion dynamics?

2

Strategies. What strategies do influencers employ to achieve their goals?

## Simulation: Big Picture

**Strategy:** 

### Interactions: Agent Based Model

**Dynamics:** System Dynamics (trust and opinion)

Multi-agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL)





σ

## **F** Simulation: Influence





Intentional optimal control formulation with influence as an offset from the target's opinion.

$$\theta_{expressed} = \theta_{target} + \iota$$

## Indirect



Stubborn Agents

## **Simulation: Agent Environment Cycle**

## Each Step:

**1. Observe** All agent opinions

**Team:** Shared goal + message passing



### **2. Act**

### Action: Target + Influence



3. Reward

## **F** Simulation: Configuration Training and Evaluation

# Configuration

Default agents: random target, smoothed influence

#### Simulation Setup: Interested in intra-team coordination and interteam competition

- Population size: 8
- Opinions initialized random uniform
- Trust is 0

# Training

**Curriculum:** Trust > Individual Influence

Autocurricula: compete vs self, random goals

# **Evaluation**

**Consistency:** MARL opinions 0.8. Opposition 0.2

**Community Influence** 



# Challenge

- 1.8 x 10<sup>132</sup> possible action sequences Training directly on the goal fails

# Approach

- **SB3 PPO** with agent perspective reward Dynamic rewards for **curriculum learning** in stages

# Goal

- Sway opinion to your team's goal, end with largest cluster (DBSCAN)
- Average opinion closer to your team than any other

# Simulation: In Action

### Nodes

- Blue: goal 0.8
- Orange: goal 0.2
- Grey: no affiliation

#### Edges (and node border)

- Green: peer influence
- Red: direct influence



#### **Simulation: Results**



σ

0.52

Opinion

0.34

0.69

0.69

0.86 1.00

0.86 1.00

## **F** Simulation: Results



Do creative breakthroughs mark identifiable shifts in strategies?

## **F** Simulation: Centrality in Moderation



Gradual reduction in target centrality doesn't explain creative breakthrough. Trend of targeting moderately high centrality agents is worth further investigation

## **F** Simulation: Divide and Conquer



A change in coordination strategies resulted in the creative breakthrough. Agents became better at influencing simultaneously

# Simulation: In Action

After 10 million steps

### 

### Nodes

- Blue: goal 0.8
- Orange: goal 0.2
- Grey: no affiliation

### Edges (and node border)

- Green: peer influence
- Red: direct influence





14

**Trust insights:** Trust decreased for the influencers, but increased between the other agents

**Strategy insights:** We successfully trained agents who exhibited believable behaviors we can use as markers to look for influence

**System insights:** We observed a rise in extremism when influencers participated in contrast so simulations without influencers

Future work: We plan to use insights to improve fidelity of real world analysis

**Questions?** 

