

Complex Adaptive System of Systems (CASoS) Engineering Initiative http://www.sandia.gov/casos

## The Effect of Healthcare Environments on a Pandemic Influenza Outbreak

Victoria J. Davey<sup>1</sup> Daniel C. Cannon<sup>2</sup> Robert J. Glass<sup>2</sup>

Modeling for Public Health Action: From Epidemiology to Operations Centers for Disease Control and Prevention December 9-10, 2010

<sup>1</sup> Department of Veterans Affairs

<sup>2</sup> Sandia National Laboratories



Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



# Context: Influenza transmission within healthcare settings

## • Patients, staff, visitors bring influenza into healthcare settings

- Outbreaks cause morbidity and mortality among staff, inpatients, long term residents (Bridges 2003)
- H5N1 transmission occurred in hospitals (Bridges 2000, Wang 2008, Writing Comm WHO 2008, Uyeki 2007)

# Control measures in healthcare settings include

- Negative pressure rooms
- Use of PPE
- Screening
- Voluntary home quarantine of exposed staff
- Visitor limitations
- Prophylactic medications, vaccine

### Applied by severity/pathogenicity

- Limit transmission in healthcare settings?
- Few clinical studies of efficacy (Loeb 2009)



#### Infection Control Management of Patients with Suspected or Confirmed Influenza

Suspected influenza: Patient has signs and symptoms suggestive of influenza, such as fever (≥ 100°F) and cough, muscle aches, headache, or sore throat

Confirmed influenza: When either a rapid influenza test or viral culture is positive for influenza A or B

#### Outpatients:

- Post signs reminding everyone to practice hand hygiene and respiratory etiquette.
- · Provide alcohol-based hand rubs in all outpatient waiting rooms.
- Offer a mask and tissues to patients with coughs.
- Segregate patients with cough and/or fever in an area of the waiting room away from other patients.

#### Inpatients:

- Place patient in a private room. If a private room is not available, contact your facility's Infection Control Professional.
- Post a Droplet Precautions sign outside the door.
- Follow Droplet Precautions (in addition to Standard Precautions):
- Wear a mask upon entering the room.
- Remove your mask when leaving the patient's room and dispose of your mask in a regular waste container.
- Have the patient wear a mask when being transported.





# Context: Influenza transmission from healthcare settings

- Few reports of transmission of respiratory viruses from healthcare settings to communities
  - Biologically plausible
- Exception: 2002-2003 SARS experience:
  - Healthcare settings were high-risk
    environments for transmission
  - Healthcare settings were source of infection for large percentage of victims who transmitted to community members
  - A 'healthcare centered' epidemic (Lloyd-Smith 2003, Possamai 2007)







## **Context: Related Work**

## • Nuno et al. (2008)

- Compartmental model of a community with an embedded acute care hospital.
- Open admittance policy rendered non-pharmaceutical measures ineffective on within-healthcare control of influenza transmission.

### · Lloyd-Smith et al. (2003)

- Estimated effects of patient isolation, contact tracing and quarantine on community SARS outbreak.
- Quarantine of healthcare workers was the key measure in preventing transmission to community.





# Context: Previous studies on influenza epidemic mitigation using this model

- Glass et al. EID 2006: determined the critical importance of children in influenza epidemic propagation. Closing schools and social distancing of children reduced infections by 90%
- Davey et al. EID 2008: evaluated thresholds for rescinding community mitigation strategies
- Glass & Glass BMC Public Health 2008: surveyed children and teenagers found teens had most contacts that could serve as influenza transmission 'backbone'
- Davey & Glass PLoS One 2008: a systematic evaluation of feasible mitigation strategies at wide range of pandemic severities and found critical enablers of success—rapid, stringent, regional implementation with high compliance
- **Periroth et al. CID 2009:** evaluated cost-effectiveness of mitigation strategies, finding that the addition of school closure to adult and child social distancing and antiviral treatment and prophylaxis is not cost-effective for viral strains with low infectivity (Ro 1.6 and below) and low case fatality rates (1% and below)







- To determine if healthcare settings serve as intensive transmission environments for influenza epidemics, increasing effects on communities.
- To determine which mitigation strategies are best for use in healthcare settings and in communities to limit influenza epidemic effects.
- To determine which mitigation strategies are best to prevent illness in healthcare workers





## Methods: Base Social Network Design

- A community in a networked agent-based model:
  - Explicit social contact network
  - Stylized US community of 10,000
  - Agents: Children 18%, Teen 11%, Adult 59%, Senior 12% (US Census, 2000)
  - Individuals live in overlapping groups of varying sizes: households, schools, workplaces, neighborhoods, extended families, gatherings, random meetings (RJ Glass et al. 2006; L Glass et al. 2008)
  - Model constructs <u>links</u> between individuals that are potential connections; the numbers of links and configurations determined by pre-defined network topology (here: random, ring, fully connected)









## Methods: Influenza Transmission

# Process of influenza transmission

- <u>Links</u> are assigned an associated mean frequency of contacts per link per day, depending on group type (e.g. classroom or household)
- <u>Contacts</u> (realized links) present opportunity for influenza transmission
- Successful transmission = <u>infectious contacts</u> that result from a set of stochastically scheduled events that vary with each simulation







#### Influenza transmission occurs as two events

- First event: State transition—describes an individual's experience with influenza illness
- State transitions are based on observed and experimental human influenza infections (Carrat 2008, Ferguson 2006, Germann, 2006, Monto 1985)







## Methods: Influenza Transmission

Second event: individual to individual influenza transmission

- Probability that a contact will occur,  $p_c$  in a small time interval, dt, along a link with contact frequency  $v_c$  is:  $p_c = v_c dt$
- The percentage of total contacts between two linked individuals that actually result in transmission is scaled by I<sub>D</sub>\*I<sub>R</sub>\*I<sub>A</sub>\*S<sub>P</sub>\*S<sub>A</sub> where

 $I_D$  = the infectivity of the disease

 $I_R$  = the relative infectivity of the disease state

- $I_A$  = the relative infectivity of the individual who is transmitting
- $S_P$  = the susceptibility of people to the disease (here taken as 1.0)
- $S_A$  = is the relative susceptibility of the individual being infected
- The probability of an influenza transmission event along a given link between an infectious and a susceptible individual,  $p_i$ , is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_i = \boldsymbol{I}_D^* \boldsymbol{I}_R^* \boldsymbol{I}_A^* \boldsymbol{S}_P^* \boldsymbol{S}_A^* \boldsymbol{v}_c^* dt$$





## Methods: Healthcare Sites

#### Two healthcare delivery sites

- Outpatient
- Capacity maximum 60 patients and escorts; 24/7 operation

#### · 3 shifts of healthcare workers (mean shift size: 20; range 10-50)

- Healthcare workers are of many disciplines
- Equal likelihood to expose or be exposed to influenza at healthcare site

#### · Community members come to healthcare site

- Patients receive care for influenza or other illness
  - 50% of symptomatic influenza patients seek healthcare (HHS Pandemic Influenza Plan 2005)
  - 70% of entire community population seeks healthcare at least once per year for any reason (VA Benefits and Healthcare Utilization, 2008)

#### - Escorts accompany patients

Asymptomatic teenager, adult, or senior family member if available.

#### · At healthcare site

- Infected and non-infected patients and escorts mingle in waiting area
- Patients are assigned to one of 4 intake queues with shortest waiting time
- Mean visit times determined from published data (Nat'l Health Statistics Reports 2008)





## Methods: Design of Healthcare Sites

- Patients' and escorts' links, contacts, and infectious contacts are formed independently
- Links are:
  - Patient to patient
  - Patient to escort
  - Escort to escort
  - Healthcare worker to patient
  - Healthcare worker to escort
  - Healthcare worker to healthcare worker
- #s of links are scaled according to occupancy of site
- Frequencies of contacts per link are assigned and determine #s of infectious contacts
- If healthcare workers are not able to work, the number of patients able to be seen is decreased linearly according to proportion available.
- Healthcare workers with influenza return to work after a 7 day recovery period





## **Community-Based Interventions**

S	Close Schools	Schools closed, <b>all school contacts reduced by 90%</b> , household contacts doubled
CTsd	Social Distance Children and Teenagers	Child & Teens social distancing, <b>all non-school and non-household</b> <b>contacts with or between children and teens reduced by 90%</b> , household contacts doubled
ASsd	Social Distance Adults and Seniors	Adults & Seniors social distancing, all non-household non-work contacts with or between adults and seniors reduced by 90%, work contacts reduced by 50%, household contacts doubled
Т	Antiviral Treatment	Antiviral Treatment, <b>% of people (by level of compliance)</b> given antiviral course immediately after diagnosed, reduces infectivity by 60% (from Ferguson et al., 2006)
Ρ	Household antiviral prophylaxis	Antiviral Prophylaxis, % of household members (by level of compliance) given antiviral for 10 days immediately after individual is diagnosed, reduces susceptibility by 30%, and if they become infected: reduces probability of symptomatic by 65%, reduces infectivity by 60% (from Ferguson et al., 2006)





## Healthcare Worker Interventions

PPE	Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare Workers	Healthcare workers wear masks, gloves, gowns, protective eyewear with probability based on a compliance factor from the first day of strategy implementation until there are 0 community cases in 7 days. We assume PPE reduce susceptibility and infectivity by 50%.
ObP	Outbreak Prophylaxis for Healthcare Workers	Healthcare workers take daily antivirals with probability based on a compliance factor from the first day of strategy implementation until there are 0 community cases in 7 days. Reduces susceptibility by 30%, probability of becoming symptomatic by 65% and infectivity by 60%.
PPV	Partially Effective Pandemic Vaccine	Healthcare workers get vaccine with probability based on a compliance factor prior to the local onset of the epidemic. We assume vaccine reduces the probability of infection by 50%.





## Outcome measures

- Number of simulations that yield epidemics
- Infection rates, community members and HCW
- Illness attack (symptomatic) rate
- Deaths
- Peak infected, community and HCW
- Time to peak infected
- Peak symptomatic
- Time to peak symptomatic
- Epidemic duration (from implementation threshold to last diagnosed)

- Total time of effects (from initial seeding to last person recovered)
- Number of days strategies imposed
- Number of containment cycles needed
- Number of external infections
- Number of antiviral courses given
- Number of days adults are at home (either sick, quarantined, or tending sick or children sent home from school)
- Healthcare site patient throughput





## Simulations

- Three community compliance rates: 30%, 60%, and 90%
- Two healthcare worker compliance rates: 60% and 90%
- Three attack rates
- Twenty combinations of community mitigation strategies
- Eight combinations of healthcare worker strategies
- 100 simulations for each configuration
- Total of 18,000 simulations for base community (no healthcare delivery sites) and 270,000 simulations with healthcare delivery sites (288,000 total)





## Effect of Healthcare Sites on Number of Infections







### Healthcare Worker Infections: Effects of Community-Based + Heathcare-Based Mitigation Strategies







## Effect of Community Compliance on HCW Infections







## Effect of HCW Compliance on HCW Infections







## **Ranking of HCW Interventions**







## Ranking of HCW Interventions with Community Measures







## **Healthcare Delivery**







## Conclusions

- We extended the model to study effects of presence of healthcare sites in community on pandemic effects
- Healthcare sites accelerate the pace and peak of the pandemic wave
- Use of community mitigation measures protects HCW, and decreases surge on healthcare sites
- Use of HCW measures significantly protects healthcare workers, keeps HCW available to meet the surge
- Compliance with measures remains key

